

It is said that “Not everything that is learned is contained in books”. Compare and contrast knowledge gained from experience with knowledge gained from books. In your opinion, which source is more important? Why?

There are two main way for people to learn from which people can gain knowledge from books and experience. In my opinion, we learn more from our experiences than from books.

Generally, knowledge gained from books is theoretical that is gained from experience

which is practical. The knowledge from books ~~are~~ is constrained to the certain conditions and environment. For example, tools designed ed for the plastics industry, the university course only taught me very simple cases, most knowledge ~~are~~ is obtained from various different and complicated cases in my career.

There are lots of new inventionss and experiencess which could not be found from bookss. Science is dramatically in progress .those types of knowledge are never found in books, such as those on the internet and in business.

Experience can prove if the knowledge from books ~~are~~is true or false. The knowledge learned from books is instructive and can inform us about some useful theories that can give us general ideas and help us understand the real phenomenon better. When Aristotle wrote in his transcripts that the earth is the center of our planets no one doubted this for centuries. After a while they found out that it was not true.

No doubt, learning ~~the~~ knowledge from practice is easier than gaining knowledge from books. Nonetheless, people learned their

skills by experience which is ~~were~~-handed down from one generation to another.

In conclusion, there two kinds of knowledge which both have ~~an~~-importance.

Although, knowledge from practice is more important because books can give us guidelines ~~but~~, we can achieve perfection only from our experiences.